



## Minutes Tempe Family Justice Commission February 21, 2017

Minutes of the TEMPE FAMILY JUSTICE COMMISSION held on Tuesday, February 21, 6:00 p.m., at the Tempe, Public Library 2<sup>nd</sup> Floor Board Room, 3500 S. Rural Road, Tempe, Arizona.

**(MEMBERS) Present:**

Karyn Lathan, Chair  
Angel Carbajal  
Ilene Dode  
Andi Fetzner (telephonically)  
Patrick Foster  
Judith Homer  
Beatrice Kastenbaum  
Kristen Scharlau  
Margaret "Peggy" Tinsley

**(MEMBERS) Absent:**

Kimberly Frick

**City Staff Present:**

Paul Bentley, Human Services Deputy Director  
Rosa Inchausti, Strategic Management and Diversity Director  
Jennifer Bardzik, CARE 7 Victim Advocate  
Anastasia Stinchfield, CARE 7 Victim Advocate  
Maria Gonzalez, CARE 7 Victim Advocate  
Melissa Placencia, Administrative Assistant

**Guests Present:**

None

**Agenda Item 1 – Call to Order**

Chair Karyn Lathan called the meeting to order at 6:05 p.m.

**Agenda Item 2 – Attendance**

Chair Karyn Lathan took roll.

**Agenda Item 3 – Public Appearances**

There were no public appearances.

**Agenda Item 4 – Review and Approval of December 20, 2016 Minutes (Motion Required)**

**MOTION:** Commission Member Margaret Tinsley moved to APPROVE the minutes.  
**SECOND:** Commission Member Judith Homer  
**DECISION:** Minutes unanimously APPROVED

**Agenda Item 5 – Chair Remarks**

Chair Karyn Lathan expressed her excitement to have a full Commission present. Commission Member Angel Carbajal suggested Agenda Item 9 be moved up for discussion, there was no objection. Commission Member Kristen Scharlau also suggested the Care 7 Presentation be moved up for discussion, there was no objection.

**Agenda Item 6 – Vice-Chair Vacancy Discussion and Approval**

Commission Member Margaret Tinsley volunteered to be the new Vice-Chair of the Tempe Family Justice Commission

**DECISION:** APPROVED unanimously

**Agenda Item 7 – Police Department Update on Information Related to Domestic and Sexual Violence – Commission Member Angel Carbajal**

**[Attachment Included](#)**

At prior meetings, the Commission was provided crime definitions specific to the crimes related in the statistics. The statistics provided covered January 1, 2013 – June 30, 2016; this report now includes the remaining months of 2016.

Chair Karyn Lathan noted the reported numbers for molestation of a child and sexual conduct with a minor is significantly low due to under reporting. Commission Member Kristen Scharlau feels the community needs to be better educated on trauma informed care.

**Agenda Item 8 – CARE 7 Update on Information Related to Domestic and Sexual Violence**

**[Attachment Included](#)**

Victim Advocate Jennifer Bardzik reviewed the mission and goals of the Victim Assistance Program, program history, roles of CARE 7 Victim Advocates, why CARE 7 is different than any other advocacy program, and explained collaborations with the City of Tempe and Community Partners, and an overview of Victim of Crime Act (VOCA).

Commission Member Kristen Scharlau expressed her appreciation for Commission Member Angel Carbajal for the support CARE 7 has received from the Police Department.

**Agenda Item 9 – City of Tempe Citizen Survey Presentation – Rosa Inchausti**

**[Attachment Included](#)**

Rosa Inchausti, Strategic Management and Diversity Director introduced herself to the Commission and thanked them for the work they are doing and reviewed the tabular data draft report from the Citizen Survey with questions pertinent to the Tempe Family Justice Commission mission and vision. The City of Tempe began conducting this survey in 2007 and the Strategic Management and Diversity office currently works with every department in crafting the questions. Any additional question can be submitted to staff by September.

### **Agenda Item 10 – Strategic Planning Update**

The Commission recently met and reviewed the Strategic Plan, specific action items include:

- Creating a list of stakeholders – Commission Member Kristen Scharlau
- Touring Advocacy Centers – Commission Member Angel Carbajal
- Research Best Practices – Commission Members Beatrice Kastenbaum and Ilene Dode
  - Preventing and caring of victims of Sexual and/or Domestic Violence

The Commission will provide their completed implementation sheets for assigned action items at the next meeting

### **Agenda Item 11 – Adjournment**

**Meeting adjourned at 7:50 p.m.**

### **Future Agenda Items**

- **Tour Advocacy Centers – Pending response from City of Tempe’s Attorney’s Office**

Next meeting will be on March 21, 2017

Minutes Prepared by: Melissa Placencia

Reviewed by: Paul Bentley

---

Karyn Lathan, Chair  
Tempe Family Justice Commission

# Family Justice Commission Statistics



CRIME TYPE	2013	2014	2015	2016				
MOLESTATION OF A CHILD	4	10	8	6				
SEXUAL ABUSE	25	32	24	27				
SEXUAL ASSAULT	67	89	96	142				
SEXUAL CONDUCT WITH MINOR	8	13	10	12				
AGGRAVATED ASSAULT - DV	144	152	142	155	AGGRAVATED ASSAULT - DV	Total	Male	Female
ARRESTEES <sup>2</sup> - AGGRAVATED ASSAULT - DV	101	115	101	112	Persons arrested more than once	7	6	1
VICTIMS - AGGRAVATED ASSAULT - DV	183	197	180	196	Persons victimized more than once	20	5	15
ASSAULT - DV	1015	1168	1047	1109	ASSAULT - DV	Total	Male	Female
ARRESTEES <sup>2</sup> - ASSAULT - DV	669	772	679	742	Persons arrested more than once	191	125	66
VICTIMS - ASSAULT - DV	1266	1493	1373	1442	Persons victimized more than once	497	154	343

<sup>2</sup>Arrestees may reflect multiple arrests per incident and include persons who are both victims and arrestees

<sup>2</sup>Arrests can also be for warrants unrelated to these offenses.



City of Tempe  
January 1, 2013 – December 31, 2016

Statistics Provided by SPARC  
2/16/2017





CARE 7



Victim Assistance Program

## Objectives

---

- ▶ Mission & Goals of Victim Assistance
- ▶ Program History
- ▶ Role of CARE 7 Victim Advocates
- ▶ Why CARE 7 is Different
- ▶ Collaborations
- ▶ Victims of Crime Act (VOCA) Basics



---

## Mission Statement

*The CARE 7 Victim Advocacy team strives to provide a continuum of care for crime victims, assisting with all facets of the criminal justice system and removing barriers for victims.*

## Program Goals

*To provide comprehensive support to all victims of crime in Tempe, to provide a continuum of care for these victims, and to encourage participation in the criminal justice system.*



## Program History

- ▶ Serving Tempe residents since 1998
- ▶ 1 Part-Time Position → 4 Full-Time Positions
- ▶ Funded through a Victim of Crime Act (VOCA) federal grant
- ▶ Department of Public Safety (DPS) distributes the funds for Arizona (Approximately \$40 million total)

### CARE 7 Victim Advocacy





## Victim Advocacy Roles at CARE 7

- ▶ Receive Referrals
- ▶ Safety Planning
- ▶ Emotional Support
- ▶ Assistance During Investigations
- ▶ Liaison Between Victim & Detectives
- ▶ Court Accompaniment
- ▶ Information on Victims Programs
- ▶ Connection to Resources
- ▶ Referrals to CARE 7 Counseling
- ▶ Basic Needs Assistance
- ▶ Ongoing Support



## What Makes Us Different?

---

### Other VA programs...

- ▶ Court or police-based advocates
- ▶ Can only assist with needs related to court/investigation
- ▶ Traditional business hours
- ▶ Operates under umbrella of court or PD
- ▶ Limited scope of services
- ▶ Limited collaborative efforts

### CARE 7 VA Program

- ▶ Systems-based advocates
- ▶ Assist with needs outside of court/investigation
- ▶ Available every day of the week, including weekends
- ▶ Work as part of the City of Tempe Crisis Team CARE 7
- ▶ Special Victims Unit for the City of Tempe Police Department Victim Advocate
- ▶ Many collaborative efforts
- ▶ ASU School of Social Work Interns (MSW)



## Collaborations

---

- ▶ Tempe Police Department
- ▶ Tempe Municipal Court
- ▶ Tempe Prosecutor's Office
- ▶ Maricopa County Superior Court
- ▶ ASU Victim Advocate
- ▶ Tempe Community Action Agency



## VOCA: Victims of Crime Act

---

### ▶ What is it?

#### ▶ Victims of Crime Act of 1984

##### ▶ Funding sources

- Fines and penalties levied against criminals convicted of federal crimes (e.g. Takata Air bag penalties)
- Does not come from tax dollars



### ▶ CARE 7

#### ▶ Covers

- ▶ Salaries/medical benefits for victim advocates
- ▶ Equipment
- ▶ Hotel vouchers and gift cards for victims
- ▶ Training



## VOCA Limitations

---

- ▶ **Limitations to VOCA funding**
  - ▶ Housing
  - ▶ Counseling
- ▶ **What do we do to cover the gaps?**
  - ▶ Temporary safety planning - Hotel
  - ▶ Low cost counseling through CARE 7
  - ▶ Victim Compensation
  - ▶ Restitution
  - ▶ Civil cases





Questions?

## For more information...

---

- ▶ **Our contact information at CARE 7**
  - ▶ Jennifer Bardzik- 480-350-2935
  - ▶ Anastasia Stinchfield- 480-350-2925
  - ▶ Maria Gonzalez (Bilingual)-480-350-8026





Thank you!



**Section 3:**  
**Tabular Data**

---

City of Tempe 2016 Community Survey: Draft Report

**Q1-7. Safe & Secure Communities: Please rate your satisfaction level on a scale of 5 to 1, where 5 means "Very Satisfied" and 1 means "Very Dissatisfied."**

(N=1202)

	Very Satisfied	Satisfied	Neutral	Dissatisfied	Very Dissatisfied	Don't Know
Q1. Quality of local fire services	40.9%	26.0%	5.8%	0.2%	0.2%	27.0%
Q2. Quality of medical rescue services	37.7%	25.4%	5.8%	0.2%	0.4%	30.4%
Q3. Quality of local police services	36.5%	35.4%	11.3%	2.9%	2.1%	11.8%
Q4. Enforcement of local traffic laws	21.6%	35.1%	17.2%	11.6%	3.8%	10.6%
Q5. Efforts by the City to prevent crime	20.6%	35.1%	20.0%	6.8%	3.2%	14.3%
Q6. Overall feeling of safety in the City	27.8%	46.0%	15.6%	6.8%	1.8%	2.0%
Q7. Feeling of safety in your neighborhood	31.8%	40.8%	13.6%	8.0%	2.9%	2.8%

**WITHOUT DON'T KNOW**

**Q1-7. Safe & Secure Communities: Please rate your satisfaction level on a scale of 5 to 1, where 5 means "Very Satisfied" and 1 means "Very Dissatisfied." (without "don't know")**

(N=1202)

	Very Satisfied	Satisfied	Neutral	Dissatisfied	Very Dissatisfied
Q1. Quality of local fire services	56.0%	35.5%	8.0%	0.2%	0.2%
Q2. Quality of medical rescue services	54.2%	36.5%	8.4%	0.4%	0.6%
Q3. Quality of local police services	41.4%	40.1%	12.8%	3.3%	2.4%
Q4. Enforcement of local traffic laws	24.2%	39.3%	19.3%	12.9%	4.3%
Q5. Efforts by the City to prevent crime	24.1%	41.0%	23.3%	8.0%	3.7%
Q6. Overall feeling of safety in the City	28.4%	46.9%	15.9%	7.0%	1.9%
Q7. Feeling of safety in your neighborhood	32.7%	42.0%	14.0%	8.2%	3.0%

City of Tempe 2016 Community Survey: Draft Report

**Q8a-f. Safe & Secure Communities: Feeling of safety during the day in the following places:**

(N=1202)

	Very Satisfied	Satisfied	Neutral	Dissatisfied	Very Dissatisfied	Don't Know
Q8a. Neighborhood parks	30.8%	42.0%	11.5%	3.4%	1.4%	10.9%
Q8b. City parks such as Kiwanis & Tempe Beach	31.7%	39.3%	11.8%	1.9%	1.6%	13.7%
Q8c. Desert parks such as Papago & Evelyn Hallman	18.5%	29.3%	15.6%	4.2%	2.7%	29.7%
Q8d. City athletic & recreational facilities	23.3%	29.5%	12.8%	1.1%	0.9%	32.4%
Q8e. Tempe Public Library Complex	40.8%	32.2%	9.3%	2.0%	0.7%	15.1%
Q8f. City Hall	26.9%	25.8%	10.9%	0.6%	0.5%	35.4%

**WITHOUT DON'T KNOW**

**Q8a-f. Safe & Secure Communities: Feeling of safety during the day in the following places: (without "don't know")**

(N=1202)

	Very Satisfied	Satisfied	Neutral	Dissatisfied	Very Dissatisfied
Q8a. Neighborhood parks	34.5%	47.2%	12.9%	3.8%	1.6%
Q8b. City parks such as Kiwanis & Tempe Beach	36.7%	45.5%	13.7%	2.2%	1.8%
Q8c. Desert parks such as Papago & Evelyn Hallman	26.3%	41.7%	22.2%	6.0%	3.8%
Q8d. City athletic & recreational facilities	34.5%	43.6%	19.0%	1.6%	1.4%
Q8e. Tempe Public Library Complex	48.0%	37.9%	11.0%	2.4%	0.8%
Q8f. City Hall	41.6%	39.9%	16.9%	0.9%	0.8%

City of Tempe 2016 Community Survey: Draft Report

**Q9a-f. Safe & Secure Communities: Feeling of safety at night in the following places:**

(N=1202)

	Very Satisfied	Satisfied	Neutral	Dissatisfied	Very Dissatisfied	Don't Know
Q9a. Neighborhood parks	12.5%	25.5%	23.7%	13.5%	3.8%	21.0%
Q9b. City parks such as Kiwanis & Tempe Beach	12.1%	25.0%	23.4%	8.5%	3.5%	27.5%
Q9c. Desert parks such as Papago & Evelyn Hallman	6.6%	14.8%	21.0%	11.3%	5.3%	41.0%
Q9d. City athletic & recreational facilities	11.5%	24.5%	19.4%	2.8%	1.2%	40.5%
Q9e. Tempe Public Library Complex	20.8%	29.9%	15.3%	3.0%	1.3%	29.7%
Q9f. City Hall	15.3%	19.6%	17.0%	1.2%	0.7%	46.1%

**WITHOUT DON'T KNOW**

**Q9a-f. Safe & Secure Communities: Feeling of safety at night in the following places: (without "don't know")**

(N=1202)

	Very Satisfied	Satisfied	Neutral	Dissatisfied	Very Dissatisfied
Q9a. Neighborhood parks	15.8%	32.3%	30.0%	17.1%	4.8%
Q9b. City parks such as Kiwanis & Tempe Beach	16.8%	34.4%	32.3%	11.7%	4.8%
Q9c. Desert parks such as Papago & Evelyn Hallman	11.1%	25.1%	35.5%	19.2%	9.0%
Q9d. City athletic & recreational facilities	19.3%	41.3%	32.6%	4.8%	2.1%
Q9e. Tempe Public Library Complex	29.6%	42.5%	21.8%	4.3%	1.9%
Q9f. City Hall	28.4%	36.4%	31.5%	2.3%	1.4%

City of Tempe 2016 Community Survey: Draft Report

**Q10a-c. Safe & Secure Communities: How likely do you feel you will become a victim of crime in Tempe in the next 12 months involving:**

(N=1202)

	Very Likely	Likely	Somewhat Likely	Not Likely	Not Likely At all	Don't Know
Q10a. Theft or damage to property	7.2%	9.9%	30.3%	33.3%	11.9%	7.5%
Q10b. Threats of violence or taking something by force	2.2%	4.8%	13.7%	50.4%	20.7%	8.1%
Q10c. Violent crime	1.7%	3.7%	10.2%	50.1%	24.6%	9.7%

**WITHOUT DON'T KNOW**

**Q10a-c. Safe & Secure Communities: How likely do you feel you will become a victim of crime in Tempe in the next 12 months involving: (without "don't know")**

(N=1202)

	Very Likely	Likely	Somewhat Likely	Not Likely	Not Likely At all
Q10a. Theft or damage to property	7.7%	10.7%	32.7%	36.0%	12.9%
Q10b. Threats of violence or taking something by force	2.4%	5.2%	14.9%	54.8%	22.5%
Q10c. Violent crime	1.8%	4.1%	11.3%	55.5%	27.3%

City of Tempe 2016 Community Survey: Draft Report

**Q11. Safe & Secure Communities: Have you been a victim of a crime in Tempe?**

Q11. Have you been a victim of a crime in Tempe	Number	Percent
Yes	543	45.2 %
No	659	54.8 %
Total	1202	100.0 %

**Q11a. (If YES, did you report the crime to the police or other law enforcement agency?)**

Q11a. Did you report the crime to the police or other law enforcement agency	Number	Percent
Yes	464	85.5 %
No	77	14.2 %
Not provided	2	0.4 %
Total	543	100.0 %

**WITHOUT NOT PROVIDED**

**Q11a. If YES, did you report the crime to the police or other law enforcement agency? (without "not provided")**

Q11a. Did you report the crime to the police or other law enforcement agency	Number	Percent
Yes	464	85.8 %
No	77	14.2 %
Total	541	100.0 %

**Q11b. If you did not report the crime to the police, please indicate your reason:**

Q11b. Reasons why you did not report the crime	Number	Percent
Too busy	6	8.0 %
Police won't do anything	15	20.0 %
Not important	13	17.3 %
Didn't want to go to court	2	2.7 %
Nothing could be done/person wouldn't be found	48	64.0 %
Other	16	21.3 %
Total	100	

City of Tempe 2016 Community Survey: Draft Report

**Q11b. Other**

Q11b. Other	Number	Percent
I got graffiti on my garage and it was reported by a neighbor	2	13.3 %
Was given the date rape drug & cops wouldn't do anything	2	13.3 %
The monetary value of the stolen property was too low	2	13.3 %
Stolen property wasn't worth possible insurance increase or loss of property value	2	13.3 %
I would like to avoid overly violent interaction exhibited by Tempe police officers	2	13.3 %
Vandalism to my front yard	2	13.3 %
I can handle my own business	1	6.7 %
SPOKE TO JUVENILES PARENTS	1	6.7 %
On the train	1	6.7 %
Total	15	100.0 %

**Q12. Have you interacted with City of Tempe Police during the past year?**

Q12. Have you interacted with City Police during past year	Number	Percent
Yes	529	44.0 %
No	673	56.0 %
Total	1202	100.0 %

**Q12a. If Yes, did you think the police officer treated you fairly and cared about your well-being?**

Q12a. Did police officer treated you fairly & cared about your well-being	Number	Percent
Yes	463	87.5 %
No	53	10.0 %
Not provided	13	2.5 %
Total	529	100.0 %

**WITHOUT NOT PROVIDED**

**Q12a. If Yes, did you think the police officer treated you fairly and cared about your well-being? (without "not provided")**

Q12a. Did police officer treated you fairly & cared about your well-being	Number	Percent
Yes	463	89.7 %
No	53	10.3 %
Total	516	100.0 %

City of Tempe 2016 Community Survey: Draft Report

**Q14-20. Strong Community Connections: Please rate your satisfaction level on a scale of 5 to 1, where 5 means "Very Satisfied" and 1 means "Very Dissatisfied."**

(N=1202)

	Very Satisfied	Satisfied	Neutral	Dissatisfied	Very Dissatisfied	Don't Know
Q14. Overall quality of services offered by City of Tempe	25.9%	50.7%	13.9%	2.4%	1.1%	6.0%
Q15. Leadership of City's elected officials	18.2%	39.3%	21.1%	5.6%	2.5%	13.3%
Q16. How ethical City employees are in the way they conduct City business	17.0%	31.7%	19.1%	3.7%	2.4%	26.2%
Q17. Availability of information about City programs, events, services, & issues	27.8%	43.3%	14.6%	5.9%	2.0%	6.3%
Q18. Availability of information on water, sewer, & solid waste rates	24.2%	39.6%	18.9%	6.1%	1.2%	10.0%
Q19. Availability of information on recycling & water conservation program offerings	23.5%	40.6%	17.9%	6.4%	2.7%	8.9%
Q20. How easy City's 3-1-1 "One Call to City Hall" is to use	6.9%	8.1%	7.9%	1.5%	1.3%	74.3%

**WITHOUT DON'T KNOW**

**Q14-20. Strong Community Connections: Please rate your satisfaction level on a scale of 5 to 1, where 5 means "Very Satisfied" and 1 means "Very Dissatisfied." (without "don't know")**

(N=1202)

	Very Satisfied	Satisfied	Neutral	Dissatisfied	Very Dissatisfied
Q14. Overall quality of services offered by City of Tempe	27.5%	54.0%	14.8%	2.6%	1.2%
Q15. Leadership of City's elected officials	21.0%	45.3%	24.4%	6.4%	2.9%
Q16. How ethical City employees are in the way they conduct City business	23.0%	43.0%	25.8%	5.0%	3.3%
Q17. Availability of information about City programs, events, services, & issues	29.7%	46.3%	15.6%	6.3%	2.1%
Q18. Availability of information on water, sewer, & solid waste rates	26.9%	44.0%	21.0%	6.7%	1.4%
Q19. Availability of information on recycling & water conservation program offerings	25.8%	44.6%	19.6%	7.0%	2.9%
Q20. How easy City's 3-1-1 "One Call to City Hall" is to use	26.9%	31.4%	30.7%	5.8%	5.2%